

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/682,217	10/08/2003	Ly D. Nguyen	H0005469	8098
7590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER		
Honeywell International Inc.			KIM, TAE JUN	
Law Dept. AB2				
P.O. Box 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Morristown, NJ 07962-9806			3746	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/682,217	NGUYEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ted Kim	3746		

Advisory Action	10/682,217	NGUYEN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted Kim	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI		<u>-</u>	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aband idavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	1.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);	·	- ! (
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		-
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will be will not be will be will not be entered, or b) ☐ will not be will not be entered, or b) ☐ will not be entered.	l be entered and an exp	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Appeal will not l	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is r	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowanc	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
		M	
		f. Kim Primary Examiner	
		(571) 272-4829	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to the specification is insufficient as gas turbine engines are not normally considered internal combustion engines. Moreover, the amendment to claim 12 where both the forward and aft discourager comprise a 90 degree bending angle for flow restriction comprise a new issue previously unconsidered for this claim.